IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA **MARTINSBURG**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

٧.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:05-CR-07-13 3:12-CR-57-2

(JUDGE GROH)

CRYSTAL STARR METZ,

Defendant.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REDUCE SENTENCE

On July 17, 2013, Defendant Crystal Starr Metz filed a "Motion to Reduce Sentence

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)" [Doc. 446]. In Defendant's motion, she requests that

the Court reduce her sentence under the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 to a "mandatory of

60 months verses the 120 months under the old guidelines." It is unclear whether

Defendant is seeking a reduction of her sentence under Criminal Action No. 2:05-CR-07-

13, the case number under which she filed her motion, or whether she is seeking a

reduction of her sentence under Criminal Action No. 3:12-CR-57-2, the case she discussed

in the substance of her motion. Therefore, the Court will address the motion as applied to

each criminal action.

First, Defendant received a reduced sentence in Criminal Action No. 2:05-CR-07-13.

On November 1, 2011, the Court sua sponte reduced Defendant's sentence, pursuant to

the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010, to the mandatory minimum of 60 months. Therefore,

Defendant was re-sentenced "to a term of 60 months or time served, whichever [was]

greater." [Doc. 358]. Accordingly, Defendant's motion to reduce her sentence pursuant to

Criminal Action No. 2:05-CR-07-13 is **MOOT**.

Second, in the substance of Defendant's motion, she discusses her sentence in

Criminal Action No. 3:12-CR-57-2. On December 3, 2012, Defendant was sentenced to

120 months of imprisonment. Defendant requests that this Court reduce her sentence

pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and retroactively apply the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010.

The Defendant's reliance on the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 is misguided. Unlike

Defendant's sentence in Criminal Action No. 2:05-CR-07-13, where the sentencing range

was subsequently lowered by the United States Sentence Commission, in this case

Defendant's sentencing range in Criminal Action No. 3:12-CR-57-2 has not been

subsequently lowered. Also, Defendant was sentenced above the Sentencing Guideline

range pursuant to a binding Plea Agreement to 120 months imprisonment. Thus, the

Sentencing Guideline range is inapplicable. Accordingly, Defendant's motion to reduce her

sentence pursuant to Criminal Action No. 3:12-CR-57-2 is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record and/or

pro se parties in Criminal Action No. 2:05-CR-07-13 and Criminal Action No. 3:12-CR-57-2.

DATED: July 24, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2